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ABSTRACT

Large-scale knowledge repositories are becoming increasingly important as a foundation for enabling a wide variety of complex applications. In turn, building high-quality knowledge repositories critically depends on the technologies of knowledge curation and knowledge fusion, which share many similar goals with data integration, while facing even more challenges in extracting knowledge from both structured and unstructured data, across a large variety of domains, and in multiple languages.

Our tutorial highlights the similarities and differences between knowledge management and data integration, and has two goals. First, we plan to introduce the Database community to the techniques proposed for the problems of entity linkage and relation extraction by the Knowledge management, Natural language processing, and Machine learning communities. Second, we plan to give a detailed survey of the work done by these communities in knowledge fusion, which is critical to discover and clean errors present in sources and the many mistakes made in the process of knowledge extraction from sources. Our tutorial is example driven and hopes to build bridges between the database community and other disciplines to advance research in this important area.

1. INTRODUCTION

Large-scale knowledge repositories have immense value for both humans and computers, and have been shown to be effective in facilitating Web search and other complex tasks. Over the years we have seen many techniques proposed for (semi-) automatically building progressively larger repositories of knowledge, from Freebase [4] and YAGO [23, 16], to NELL [6], DeepDive [21], and Knowledge Vault [10] (see Table 1 for a comparison). Major companies including Google, Microsoft, Facebook, and Walmart have also launched their own efforts to organize knowledge [8].

Knowledge curation shares many similar goals with data integration: to integrate data of large diversity in representations of entities and of relations, to provide a unified interface to access and query the data, and to leverage the collective wisdom from a multitude of data sources. In turn, it faces many challenges that the data integration community has been facing for decades: identifying different mentions of the same real-world entity, matching different ways of representing the same attribute of an entity or the same relation between entities, discovering erroneous and out-of-date data, and so on. Web-scale knowledge discovery faces even more challenges, as the desire is to extract knowledge from both structured data and unstructured data on the Web, across multiple domains and across many languages.

The goal of this tutorial is two-fold. First, we aim to introduce to the Database community the techniques proposed by other communities such as Knowledge management, Natural language processing, and Machine learning, in resolving the heterogeneity inherent in Web-scale data, towards building a single coherent repository of the knowledge in the world. We describe critical techniques in this process, focusing on entity linkage and relation extraction. We point out the similarities and differences of the techniques with the data extraction, schema alignment, and entity resolution techniques that have been well studied in the database community.

Second, we give a detailed survey of knowledge fusion, an important tool to discover and clean both errors present in data sources, and the many mistakes that can be made in the process of knowledge extraction from sources. Comparing with data fusion, which aims at resolving conflicts from sources [3, 12], knowledge fusion considers an additional dimension of errors—the errors made by knowledge extractors. We present how existing data fusion techniques can be adapted to solve the knowledge fusion problem; in addition, we present the knowledge fusion techniques from the machine learning community based on AdaBoost learning [10], random walk inference [18], and deep learning [7].

Comparing with previous tutorials that have covered data fusion [12, 13], this tutorial has only a very small portion introducing data fusion techniques, mainly for the purpose of comparison to highlight the new challenges faced by knowledge fusion. Comparing with previous tutorials on knowledge management [2, 24], this tutorial focuses more on the post-processing step of knowledge fusion, and on drawing parallels between the research that has been done by the database community on data integration, data cleaning, and data management, and the research outside our community on knowledge extraction and curation. We hope our tutorial
can build bridges across these communities and inspire more inter-disciplinary research to leverage our expertise on data management for improving knowledge management.

2. TARGET AUDIENCE

The target audience for this tutorial is anyone with an interest in understanding knowledge management. The assumed level of mathematical sophistication is that of the typical conference attendees. Apart from a basic understanding of database technology, there are no prerequisites for this tutorial.

3. TUTORIAL OUTLINE

Knowledge curation and fusion are broad topics. Our proposal is for a 1.5-hour tutorial but we can easily extend it to a 3-hour tutorial by giving more details for the knowledge extraction and knowledge fusion techniques we plan to cover. Our tutorial is example driven and organized as follows.

3.1 Motivation (10 minutes)

Our tutorial starts with a variety of examples of existing knowledge bases built by the academia and by industry (see Table 1). We motivate the importance of knowledge management by a few real-world examples such as Web search.

3.2 Knowledge Extraction (25 minutes)

We then briefly introduce the key techniques in knowledge extraction, namely, entity linkage and relation extraction.

Entity linkage is analogous to entity resolution (or record linkage) for structured data (surveyed in [15]). Whereas entity resolution focuses on identifying structured records that refer to the real world, entity linkage considers entity mentions in text and semi-structured data (e.g., DOM trees). There have been over 100 papers on this topic [17]; we focus on the techniques presented in the seminal paper [22].

Relation extraction is analogous to data extraction and schema alignment in data integration. We briefly review techniques for extracting relations from free text, DOM trees, Web tables, and Web annotations. In particular, we focus on two techniques: distance supervision based on existing schemas and training data [19], and open IE without requiring any human input [1, 14].

3.3 Knowledge Fusion Models (40 minutes)

The background of knowledge extraction serves as a good motivation for knowledge fusion, where we need to address both erroneous data present in Web sources and mistakes in knowledge extraction. In characterizing knowledge fusion, we highlight the key difference from data fusion depicted in Figure 1; that is, data fusion assumes we know exactly the data provided by each source so the input is two-dimensional, whereas knowledge fusion reasons about the data extracted from the sources by multiple extractors so the input is three-dimensional.

We then present three types of knowledge fusion techniques. The first type takes signals such as the number of extractors that extract a triple and the extraction confidence, and learns a binary classifier for the correctness of an extracted triple. We present the techniques and the training data that are used in such supervised learning [10].

We end this session with a brief analysis of the knowledge extracted by 16 extractors from the Web in the Knowledge Vault project [10].
considered as link prediction—predicting edges from existing edges in a graph. We present two techniques: path ranking algorithm (PRA) [18] and neural network model (MLP) [7].

The third type extends data fusion techniques, which leverage agreements between sources and give higher trust to high-quality sources. We show how basic data fusion techniques have been extended to take care of the mistakes made during knowledge extraction [11].

For each type of techniques, we briefly show experimental results on Knowledge Vault data for validation.

3.4 Knowledge Fusion Applications (10 minutes)

We then briefly discuss potential applications for knowledge fusion. In particular, we highlight how knowledge fusion allows generating a new signal for evaluating the quality of Web sources: the trustworthiness of sources [9]. We discuss the techniques that are critical to generate such measures and our observations on how it complements existing measures such as PageRank [5].

3.5 Open Problems (5 minutes)

We end our tutorial with a discussion of open problems in knowledge curation and knowledge fusion. It includes improving entity linkage on DOM-tree data by exploring the structure of the data, combining corpus-based matching and distance supervision for better relation extraction, advancing knowledge fusion for openIE, and so on. How to effectively combine the techniques designed for structured-data and those oriented towards unstructured data is the key for enabling greater success in this area.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This tutorial surveys the state-of-the-art techniques for knowledge curation and knowledge fusion. Our tutorial explores the similarities and differences between the techniques proposed for data integration and for knowledge management, aiming to build bridges between the research in the database community and in other disciplines to develop more comprehensive techniques in this active research area.
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